

# LINEAL GRAVITY FROM PLANAR GRAVITY

Ana Achúcarro

Department of Mathematics  
Tufts University, Medford, MA 02174

and

Department of Theoretical Physics  
University of the Basque Country (Spain)

## Abstract

We show how to obtain the two dimensional black hole action by a dimensional reduction of the three dimensional Einstein action with a non-zero cosmological constant. Starting from the Chern-Simons formulation of 2+1 gravity, we obtain the 1+1-dimensional gauge formulation given by Verlinde. Remarkably, the proposed reduction shares the relevant features of the formulation of Cangemi and Jackiw, without the need for a central charge in the algebra. We show how the Lagrange multipliers in these formulations appear naturally as the remnants of the three-dimensional connection associated to symmetries that have been lost in the dimensional reduction. The proposed dimensional reduction involves a shift in the three dimensional connection whose effect is to make the length of the extra dimension infinite.

The past few months have seen a revival in the study of the quantum properties of black holes due to the discovery that relatively simple actions in two dimensions admit black hole solutions [1,2]. These are being intensively studied as toy models in which to investigate back-reaction in Hawking radiation and related issues in quantum gravity, in particular the formation of black holes and the final stages of black hole evaporation [2,3]. The hope is to find a consistent quantization scheme for 2d gravity coupled to matter that will answer some of the questions that are intractable in four dimensions.

We expect the underlying group structure to be crucial in the quantization process. Exact quantization of three-dimensional gravity was achieved by Witten using the fact that it can be rewritten as a Chern-Simons action for the tangent space group [4,5]. The two-dimensional “Einstein” action is a topological invariant and therefore has trivial dynamics. Alternatives include the action proposed by Teitelboim [6] and Jackiw [7] and the above mentioned ‘string-inspired’ action [2,8]. The gauge-theoretical formulation of the first action [6,7], based on the group  $SO(2,2)$ , was obtained by Chamseddine and Wyler [9] and by Isler and Trugenberger [10]. The group formulation of the ‘string-inspired’ action has recently been found in two very interesting papers, which offer different answers. The formulation proposed by Verlinde [8] is loosely based on the group  $ISO(1,1)$ . That of Cangemi and Jackiw [11] is, in some sense, more “natural” - but at the expense of introducing a central charge in the algebra.

A general feature of all these two-dimensional actions is that their gauge theoretical formulations include extra fields (Lagrange multipliers) which do not come from the metric, and whose geometric interpretation is unclear. In this paper we find a geometric interpretation of these Lagrange multipliers by a process of dimensional reduction from pure gravity in three dimensions. They are remnants of the three-dimensional theory associated with the generators that disappear in the reduction. In the process, we recover Verlinde’s formulation of the ‘black hole’ action, but in a way that shares the good features of the formulation of Cangemi and Jackiw. Our starting point is pure 2+1 dimensional gravity (with a cosmological constant) in its Chern-Simons formulation. For completeness, and because it illustrates the main features of the reduction very clearly, we first obtain the action of [6,7] by a perfectly standard dimensional reduction. We then propose a non-

standard reduction scheme involving a shift in the three dimensional connection, which yields the ‘black hole’ action of [2,8].

We begin by considering the Einstein action for 2+1 dimensional gravity with a cosmological constant

$$S = \int d^3x \sqrt{g} (R_g - 2\Lambda) \quad (1)$$

where  $g$  is the determinant of the metric  $g_{IJ}$  ( $I, J = 0, 1, 2$ ), and  $R_g$  is the Ricci scalar.  $(-4\Lambda)$  is the cosmological constant; in what follows we shall take it to be negative, i.e.  $\Lambda > 0$ , but the same analysis goes through for  $\Lambda < 0$ . Our conventions are as follows: we will use capitals for three-dimensional indices and lowercase for two-dimensional ones.  $I, J, i, j, \dots$  are spacetime indices, while  $A, B, a, b, \dots$  are tangent space indices. The former are raised and lowered with the three dimensional metric  $g_{IJ}$  or the two-dimensional metric  $\gamma_{ij}$ , the latter with the Minkowski metrics  $\eta_{AB} = (+1, -1, -1)$  or  $\eta_{ab} = (+1, -1)$ .  $\epsilon_{ABC}$  is the totally antisymmetric symbol, with  $\epsilon^{012} = 1$ . In two dimensions,  $\epsilon^{ab} = \epsilon^{ab2}$ , so  $\epsilon^{01} = 1$  also.

One can also formulate the action (1) in what is called a first order formalism. Given coordinates  $(x^I)$ , the idea is to introduce an orthonormal triad  $\{\vec{e}_A\}$ , with components  $\vec{e}_A = e_A^I \partial / \partial x^I$  in the coordinate basis, and a spin connection  $\{\omega_I^A\}$  such that the torsion constraints

$$\epsilon^{IJK} (\partial_J e_K^A - \epsilon^A_{BC} \omega_J^B e_K^C) = 0 \quad (2)$$

are satisfied. The  $e_I^A$  are defined as the inverses of the  $e_A^I$ , thus

$$e_I^A e_A^J = \delta_I^J \quad e_A^I e_I^B = \delta_A^B \quad (3)$$

and the metric is obtained from

$$g_{ij} = e_I^A e_J^B \eta_{AB} \quad (4)$$

In particular, the three-dimensional Riemann tensor can be written

$$R_{IJKL} = \epsilon_{ABC} e_K^A e_L^B [\partial_I \omega_J^C - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^C_{DF} \omega_I^D \omega_J^F] - (I \rightarrow J) \quad (5)$$

and the equations of motion of (1) reduce to

$$\partial_I \omega_J{}^A - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^A{}_{BC} \omega_I{}^B \omega_J{}^C - \frac{\Lambda}{2} \epsilon^A{}_{BC} e_I{}^B e_J{}^C - (I \rightarrow J) = 0 \quad (6)$$

The second order formulation is recovered by solving the torsion constraints (2) for the  $\omega$ 's as a function of the  $e$ 's and substituting  $\omega(e)$  in (6).

We can rewrite the equations in a coordinate free way by introducing the one-forms  $e^A$  and  $\omega^A$  (here,  $e^A = dx^I e_I{}^A$ ,  $\omega^A = dx^I \omega_I{}^A$ ). We then have

$$\begin{aligned} T^A &= De^A = de^A - \epsilon^A{}_{BC} \omega^B e^C = 0 \\ R^A &= d\omega^A - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^A{}_{BC} \omega^B \omega^C - \frac{\Lambda}{2} \epsilon^A{}_{BC} e^B e^C = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

If we introduce a  $SO(2, 2)$  connection

$$A = e^A P_A + \omega^A J_A \quad , \quad (8)$$

where  $P_A$  and  $J_A$  are translations and Lorentz rotations, satisfying

$$[P_A, P_B] = -\Lambda \epsilon_{ABC} J^C \quad [J_A, P_B] = -\epsilon_{ABC} P^C \quad [J_A, J_B] = -\epsilon_{ABC} J^C \quad , \quad (9)$$

the equations (7) are immediately recognized as the components of the curvature two-form of  $SO(2, 2)$

$$F = dA + \frac{1}{2} [A, A] = T^A P_A + R^A J_A \quad (10)$$

and the first order action corresponding to (1) turns out to be the Chern-Simons action for this connection [4,5].

$$S = \int \text{tr} (A dA + \frac{2}{3} A^3) \quad (11)$$

where the exterior product of differential forms is understood. (The same is true for  $SO(3, 1)$  if the cosmological constant is positive, or for  $ISO(2, 1)$  if it is zero).

The “trace” in the action refers to any invariant bilinear form in the algebra, and in this case it is given by the Casimir

$$C = P_A J_B \eta^{AB} \quad (12)$$

Note that the algebra is semisimple,  $so(2, 2) = so(2, 1) + so(2, 1)$ , with the two factors generated by  $M_A^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(J_A \pm P_A/\sqrt{\Lambda})$ . This means that there is a one-parameter family of Casimirs (up to overall normalization)

$$C(\sigma) = M_A^+ M^{+A} + \sigma M_A^- M^{-A} \quad (13)$$

All the actions obtained for different values of  $\sigma$  are classically equivalent in the sense that their equations of motion are the same,  $F^+ = F^- = 0$ . The Einstein action is obtained for  $\sigma = -1$

From now on, the indices a,b will take the values 0,1 only, and we will indicate the index 2 explicitly.

The first action that we want to investigate was proposed by Teitelboim [6] and Jackiw [7]

$$S = \int d^2x \sqrt{-\gamma} \Phi (R_\gamma - 2\Lambda) \quad (14)$$

( $\gamma = \det \gamma_{ij}$ ).  $\Phi$  is a Lagrange multiplier enforcing the constraint

$$R_\gamma - 2\Lambda = 0 \quad (15)$$

In order to obtain this action in the second order formulation by dimensional reduction of the three dimensional Einstein action, we consider coordinates  $(x^I) = (x^i, y)$ , we compactify the  $y$  coordinate by identifying the points whose  $y$  coordinate differs by  $L$  and we impose that all derivatives of the metric in the  $y$  direction be zero. The condition  $\partial_y g_{IJ} = 0$  is invariant under two-dimensional diffeomorphisms  $x^i \rightarrow x'^i(x^j)$  and also under the residual transformation  $y \rightarrow y + f(x^i)$ , where  $f$  is an arbitrary function. If we parametrise the three-dimensional metric as

$$g_{IJ} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{ij} - A_i A_j & \Phi A_i \\ \Phi A_j & -\Phi^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

the effect of this last transformation on the metric is, infinitesimally,

$$\delta\Phi = 0 \quad \delta A_i = \partial_i f \quad \delta\gamma_{ij} = 0 \quad (17)$$

and therefore we can use it to set  $A_i = 0$ . In that case,  $\sqrt{g} = \Phi\sqrt{-\gamma}$ , and (1) yields (14) plus a total derivative. This is the dimensional reduction that was proposed in [7].

Dimensional reduction is also straightforward in the first order formulation. Starting with (11) one obtains the gauge-theoretical formulation of (14) given by Isler and Trugenberg [10], and by Chamseddine and Wyler [9]. They introduce a gauge connection for the anti-de Sitter group  $SO(1, 2)$

$$A = e^a P_a + \omega J \quad (18)$$

and a triplet of Lagrange multipliers  $\chi_A$  transforming under the coadjoint representation and write the action as

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int \chi_A F^A \\ &= \int [\chi_a (de^a + \epsilon^{ab} \omega e_b) + \chi_2 (d\omega + \frac{\Lambda}{2} \epsilon_{ab} e^a e^b)] \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $F^A$  are the components of the curvature two-form,

$$F = dA + \frac{1}{2}[A, A] = (de^a + \epsilon^{ab} \omega e_b)P_a + (d\omega + \frac{\Lambda}{2} \epsilon_{ab} e^a e^b)J \quad (20)$$

with

$$[P_a, P_b] = \Lambda \epsilon_{ab} J \quad [P_a, J] = \epsilon_{ab} P^b \quad (21)$$

In order to obtain this formulation by dimensional reduction, we need an ansatz for the  $e^A, \omega^A$  equivalent to (16). Since

$$g_{IJ} = e_I^a e_J^b \eta_{ab} - e_I^2 e_J^2 \quad , \quad (22)$$

the dreibein components are independent of  $y$  :

$$e_y^2 = \Phi(x) \quad e_i^2 = A_i(x) \quad e_i^a e_j^b \eta_{ab} = \gamma_{ij}(x) \quad (23)$$

Setting  $A_i = 0$ , the connection one-forms become

$$e^a = dx^i e_i^a \quad e^2 = dy \Phi \quad (24)$$

We now look at the ansatz for the spin connection. Any ansatz used in the dimensional reduction must be consistent with the equations of motion of the three-dimensional theory. Introducing  $\omega^A = dx^i \omega_i^A + dy \omega_y^A$  in the equations (7), we find that consistency requires  $\omega_i^a = \omega_y^2 = 0$ , so the correct ansatz is

$$\omega^a = dy \omega_y^a(x) \quad \omega^2 = dx^i \omega_i^2 \quad (25)$$

We now perform a rescaling of the algebra generators  $J_a \rightarrow J_a/\mu$ ,  $P_2 \rightarrow P_2/\mu$ . The commutation relations (9) become

$$\begin{aligned} [P_a, P_2] &= \Lambda \epsilon_{ab} J^b & [J_a, P_2] &= \mu^2 \epsilon_{ab} P^b & [J_a, J_b] &= \mu^2 \epsilon_{ab} J_2 \\ [J_a, P_b] &= \epsilon_{ab} P_2 & [J_a, J_2] &= \epsilon_{ab} J^b \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

together with those of the two-dimensional anti-de Sitter group  $SO(2, 1)$

$$[P_a, P_b] = \Lambda \epsilon_{ab} J_2 \quad [J_2, P_a] = -\epsilon_{ab} P^b \quad (27)$$

From now on,  $J_2 = J$ , the two dimensional Lorentz generator, and  $\omega^2 = \omega$ . The rescaled Casimir

$$\mu C = P_a J^a - P_2 J \quad (28)$$

survives in the limit  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ , and the action (11) becomes

$$S = \int dy \int d^2x [\omega_{ya} (de^a + \epsilon^{ab} \omega e_b) - \Phi (d\omega + \frac{\Lambda}{2} \epsilon_{ab} e^a e^b)] \quad (29)$$

Integration over  $y$  yields a factor of  $L$  at the front, and we obtain the action (19). Notice that the Lagrange multipliers  $\chi^a = \omega_y^a$ ,  $\chi^2 = e_y^2 = \Phi$  appear naturally; they are simply the components of the three-dimensional connection corresponding to the generators  $J_0$ ,  $J_1$  and  $P_2$  that have been lost in the two dimensional theory.

We now turn to the ‘string-inspired’ model of [2], or rather to that of [8] ,

$$S_2 = \int d^2x \sqrt{-\gamma} (\Phi R_\gamma - \lambda) \quad , \quad (30)$$

which is obtained from the action of [2] by a conformal transformation.

There are two ways of writing this action in a gauge-theoretical framework. The first one, proposed by Verlinde [8] uses the  $ISO(1, 1)$  group

$$[P_a, P_b] = 0 \quad [P_a, J] = \epsilon_{ab} P^b \quad (31)$$

The action is written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \int (\chi_A F^A - \lambda \epsilon_{ab} e^a e^b) \\ &= \int [\chi_a (de^a + \epsilon^{ab} \omega e_b) + \chi_2 d\omega - \lambda \epsilon_{ab} e^a e^b] \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

and it is invariant under the transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta e^a &= d\theta^a + \epsilon^{ab} \omega \theta_b + \epsilon^{ab} \alpha e_b \\ \delta \omega &= d\alpha \\ \delta \chi^a &= \epsilon^{ab} \alpha \chi_b + \lambda \epsilon^{ab} \theta_b \\ \delta \chi^2 &= \epsilon_{ab} \chi^a \theta^b \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The second one, proposed by Cangemi and Jackiw, uses a central extension of the  $ISO(1, 1)$  algebra

$$[P_a, P_b] = \lambda \epsilon_{ab} I \quad [P_a, J] = \epsilon_{ab} P^b \quad [I, P_a] = [I, J] = 0 \quad (34)$$

which has a non-degenerate Casimir

$$C = P_a P^a - \lambda I J \quad (35)$$

Introducing  $A = e^a P_a + \omega J + \lambda a I$ , the action becomes

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \int \chi_A F^A \\ &= \int \chi_a (de^a + \epsilon^{ab} \omega e_b) + \chi_2 d\omega + \chi_3 (da + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ab} e^a e^b) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$



(where now the index  $A$  runs from 0 to 3) and is invariant under the natural gauge transformations

$$\delta A = dA + [A, v] \quad (37)$$

for a gauge parameter  $v = v^a P_a + vJ + \lambda uI$ . In this case,

$$F = (de^a + \epsilon^{ab}\omega e_b)P_a + d\omega J + \lambda(da + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ab}e^a e^b)I \quad (38)$$

Note that there are *four* Lagrange multipliers. Verlinde's formulation is recovered after elimination of  $a$  and  $\chi_3$  by their equations of motion, notably  $d\chi_3 = 0$ , which allows us to set  $\chi_3 = -2\lambda$ .

To obtain a 2d formulation starting with the 3d Chern-Simons action, we introduce a different rescaling of the  $SO(2, 2)$  generators, which now includes a rescaling of the  $P_a$ . This is just the usual rescaling in Wigner-Inönü contraction that obtains the underlying Poincaré group  $ISO(1, 1)$  in the limit where  $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ . (Note that  $J_2$  cannot be rescaled if it is to generate 2-dimensional Lorentz rotations, since a rescaling would alter its commutation relation with translations). The rescalings

$$P_a \rightarrow \frac{P_a}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \quad P_2 \rightarrow \frac{P_2}{\mu\sqrt{\Lambda}} \quad J_a \rightarrow \frac{J_a}{\mu} \quad (39)$$

together with the constant shift

$$e^a \rightarrow e^a + \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} dy \quad (40)$$

give the action (30) in the limit where  $\mu, \Lambda \rightarrow 0$  provided  $\mu^2/\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ . The rescaling of  $P_2$  is determined by the condition that the Casimir be non-degenerate after the contraction.

The commutation relations (9) now become

$$\begin{aligned} [P_a, P_2] &= \Lambda\epsilon_{ab}J^b & [J_a, P_2] &= \mu^2\epsilon_{ab}P^b & [J_a, J_b] &= \mu^2\epsilon_{ab}J \\ [J, J_a] &= -\epsilon_{ab}J^b & [J_a, P_b] &= \epsilon_{ab}P_2 \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and those of the two-dimensional theory,

$$[P_a, P_b] = \Lambda\epsilon_{ab}J \quad [J, P_a] = -\epsilon_{ab}P^b \quad (42)$$

Note that in the limit  $\mu = \Lambda = 0$ , the algebra is invariant under the interchange of  $J_a$  and  $P_a$ . As a consequence, the rescaled Casimir

$$(\mu\sqrt{\Lambda})C = P_a J^a - P_2 J \quad (43)$$

is essentially equivalent to that appearing in the  $ISO(1,1)$  algebra with central charge, proposed by Cangemi and Jackiw (even though  $P_2$  is *not* a central charge). The first term behaves like  $P_a P^a$  and the second term plays the role of the  $JI$  term in [11], making the Casimir non-degenerate. Note that there is no rescaling that will give the central charge in the algebra while keeping  $J$  as the generator of Lorentz rotations.

With this ansatz, the Chern-Simons action becomes

$$S = \int dy \int \left[ \omega_{ya} (de^a + \epsilon^{ab} \omega e_b) - \Phi d\omega - \lambda \epsilon_{ab} e^a e^b \right] + \dots \quad (44)$$

where the ... indicates terms that vanish in the limit  $\mu, \Lambda \rightarrow 0$ . This is precisely (32) and again we recognise the Lagrange multipliers as coming from  $\omega^a$  and  $e^2$ .

The gauge transformations  $\delta A = dv + [A, v]$  with

$$\begin{aligned} A &= e^a P_a + \omega J + \omega^a J_a + e^2 P_2 \\ v &= \theta^a P_a + \alpha J + \beta^a J_a + \rho P_2 \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

become

$$\begin{aligned} \delta e^a &= d\theta^a + \epsilon^{ab} \omega^2 \theta_b + \epsilon^{ab} \alpha e_b + \mu^2 \epsilon^{ab} (e^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} dy) \beta_b + \mu^2 \epsilon^{ab} \rho \omega_b \\ \delta \omega^2 &= d\alpha + \mu^2 \epsilon_{ab} \omega^a \beta^b + \Lambda \epsilon_{ab} e^a \theta^b \\ \delta \omega^a &= d\beta^a + \epsilon^{ab} \alpha \omega_b + \epsilon^{ab} \omega^2 \beta_b + \Lambda \epsilon^{ab} \rho e_b + \Lambda (e^2 + \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda} dy) \epsilon^{ab} \theta_b \\ \delta e^2 &= d\rho + \epsilon_{ab} \omega^a \theta^b + \epsilon_{ab} e^a \beta^b \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

In the limit when  $\mu$  and  $\Lambda$  tend to zero, we recover the transformations proposed by Verlinde by setting  $\beta^a = \rho = 0$ . The remaining symmetries of the action change it by a total derivative, and only affect the Lagrange multipliers. They are given by

$$\delta_\beta \omega^a = d\beta^a + \epsilon^{ab} \omega \beta_b \quad \delta_\beta e^2 = -\epsilon_{ab} e^a \beta^b \quad (47)$$

and

$$\delta_\rho \omega^a = 0 \qquad \delta_\rho e^2 = d\rho \qquad (48)$$

Note that the shift  $e^2 \rightarrow e^2 + (\lambda/\Lambda) dy$  corresponds to a shift

$$\Phi^2 \rightarrow \left(\Phi + \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda}\right)^2 \qquad (49)$$

and it has the effect of putting all points in the  $y$  direction an infinite distance away. This shift in  $\Phi$  can be made directly in the action (1), or in its dimensional reduction (14)

$$S_2 = \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} \int d^2x \sqrt{-\gamma} \left(\Phi + \frac{\lambda}{\Lambda}\right) (R_\gamma - 2\Lambda) \qquad (50)$$

(Incidentally, this clearly illustrates that in  $S_1$  the two-dimensional cosmological constant is the same as that of the three-dimensional theory, whereas this is not so in the string-inspired action  $S_2$ ). Note that this procedure would give rise to divergent terms in the limit where  $\Lambda$  goes to zero *in any dimension other than two*. The reason why it is acceptable in two dimensions is that the  $1/\Lambda$  term in the action happens to be multiplying the Euler characteristic, which is a topological invariant, and does not affect the classical equations of motion of the two dimensional theory. On the other hand, the presence of such a term in the path integral merits investigation, as it appears to favour spheres, while suppressing higher genus topologies.

Of course the most interesting question at the moment is the quantization of 2-dimensional gravity with matter. (Both theories considered here have been quantized successfully in the absence of matter [2,6-10], but the quantization with matter seems a much harder problem). There are a number of ways of coupling matter to gravity in 2+1 dimensions using the underlying group structure (see, for example, [4,12]) and one might gain insight into this problem by studying their dimensional reduction to two dimensions. Another interesting problem is to find the three dimensional solutions which correspond to the 2d black holes. Finally, one could investigate if there are other rescalings of the three dimensional generators which yield interesting two dimensional actions.

NOTE: After completion of this work, we discovered a paper by Cangemi (we thank Herman Verlinde for pointing it out) in which he proposes a different dimensional reduction, starting from a 2+1 dimensional model based on an abelian extension of the Poincaré algebra with three extra generators [13].

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Peter Bowcock, Ruth Gregory, Mark Hindmarsh, Miguel Ortiz, John Stewart and Gerard Watts for interesting conversations. I am also grateful to DAMTP for their hospitality, and to the NSF for financial support.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. **D44** (91) 314.
- [2] C. Callan, S. Giddins, J. Harvey and A. Strominger, Phys. Rev. **D45** (92) 1005
- [3] See, for instance, S.W. Hawking “Evaporation of two-dimensional black holes”, Caltech/DAMTP preprint CALT-68-1774; J.G.Russo , L. Susskind and L. Thorlacius, “The endpoint of Hawking radiation”, Stanford Univ. preprint SU-ITP-92-17; T. Banks and M. O’Loughlin, “Classical and quantum production of cornucopions at energies below  $10^{-18}$  GeV”, Rutgers preprint RU-92-14. (And references therein).
- [4] A.Achúcarro and P.K. Townsend, Phys. Lett. **180B** (86) 89; Phys. Lett. **229B** (89) 383.
- [5] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B311** (88) 46; Nucl. Phys. **B323** (89) 113.
- [6] C. Teitelboim, Phys. Lett **126B** (83) 41
- [7] R. Jackiw, Nucl. Phys. **B252** (85) 343
- [8] H. Verlinde, Proceedings of the Sixth Marcel Grossmann Meeting, to be published.

- [9] A. Chamseddine and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. **228B** (89) 75
- [10] K. Isler and C. Trugenberger, Phys. Rev. Lett **63** (89) 834
- [11] D. Cangemi and R. Jackiw, “Gauge invariant formulation of lineal gravity”, MIT preprint CTP 2085
- [12] M.E. Ortiz, Nucl. Phys. **B363** (91) 185.
- [13] D. Cangemi, “One formulation for both lineal gravities through a dimensional reduction”, MIT preprint CTP 2124